

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
09/785,095	02/16/2001	Paul H. Feinberg	SONY 3.0-030	6178	
530	7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER		
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			ALVAREZ, RAQUEL		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3622			

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		,095	FEINBERG, PAUL H.			
		ner	Art Unit			
		Alvarez	3622			
The MAILING DATE of this com			I			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. rty (30) days, a reply within the s um statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a nths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be timestatutory minimum of thirty (30) days it will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL.						
3) Since this application is in condi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the pr	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in t	4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected t	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election	n requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a classification. a) All b) Some * c) None of the price of the certified copies of the price of the certified copies of the price of the certified copies of the price of the price of the certified copies of the price of the pri	of: ority documents have b ority documents have b ories of the priority docu national Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in Applicati ments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•		ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/785,095 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 12/27/2004.

2. Claims 1-48 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 25 recites the limitation "the entity" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sillen (WO 98/36366 hereinafter Skillen) in view of Titmuss (WO 98/47295 hereinafter Titmuss).

With respect to claims 1-4, 6, 9, 11, 12, 18, 20, 27, 32, 41-47 Skillen teaches a method of providing information to a device (Abstract). Receiving a request for information from said device (page 7, lines 13-15); receiving other information indicative of said device (pager 8, lines 23-33); processing the requested information and other

Art Unit: 3622

information with at least one processor as to select audio-visual content based on said request and regardless of said other information and to select information based on the other information (i.e. the requested information is processed regardless of the other information and the system displays both content to the user (page 10, lines 3-27); sending response information to said client device in response to said request, said response information comprising both said other-oriented information and said content (page 10, lines 23-27).

With respect to the other information being based on the geographical position of the user's device. Titmuss teaches the user carries a wireless device which his positioned is tracked in order to offer location dependent to the user (page 10, lines 14-18, page 17, lines 8-17, page 24, lines 5-12). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included in the system of Skillen the teachings of Titmuss of providing information based on the geographical position of the user's device because such a modification would allow for **filtering out information which relates to other locations**" (in Titmuss, page 5, lines 8-10).

Claims 5 and 48 further recite that the web page comprises an on-line magazine.

Official notice is taken that on-line magazines are old and well known to provide periodical information. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the information on an on-line magazine in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Application/Control Number: 09/785,095

Art Unit: 3622

With respect to claims 7-8, 19, Skillen further teaches that the other information relates to advertisements hyperlinked to another web page of a plurality of different entities (page 8, lines 4-22).

With respect to claim 13, Titmuss teaches customizing the information based on the time of day (page 14, lines 2-4). It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the teachings of Titmuss of taking into account the time of day because such a modification would further focus the information received by the user.

With respect to claims 14-15, Skillen further teaches that the information selected is based on demographic information received before said request was received (profile database 48).

With respect to claim 16, Titmuss further teaches selecting geographicallyoriented information for said second client that is different from the geographicallyoriented information for said other processor (i.e. based on the location of the second
client the information will change)(page 17, lines 8-17). It would have been obvious to a
person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included
selecting geographically-oriented information for said second client that is different from
the geographically-oriented information for said other processor because such a
modification would better customize the output.

Claim 21 further recite storing the audio-visual content and the geographicallyoriented information on different servers. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art in the computers related arts to store different types of information on two different servers because such a modification would allow for information to be retrieved from different sources.

Claims 22-24 the combination of Skillen and Titmuss further teaches that the geographically-oriented information is associated with an entity, and further comprising the step of said entity being notified that said geographically-oriented information has been sent to said client (i.e. the seller is notified that the buyer has been sent to the seller's website, thereby exacting a toll for bringing buyer and seller together (page 11, lines 13-18).

With respect to claim 25, The combination of Skillen and Titmuss do not specifically teach the entity/seller sending a coupon. Official notice is taken that is old and well known in marketing/advertisements to send a coupon to a potential customer to motivate the customer to make a purchase. It would have been obvious ton a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the seller sending a coupon in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Page 6

Claim 26 further recites that the information relates to the inventory of the entity. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known to take an entity's inventory into consideration when recommending an entity's product to a buyer in order to avoid recommending a product that is not in stock. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included information relating to the inventory of an entity in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Claims 28-31 further recite well known location information such as zip code, cell base identifier, area code, identity of local radio station widely used to precisely determine a location of a device or a person. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included location information such as zip code, cell base identifier, area code, identity of local radio station in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

With respect to claims 10, 33-40, Titmuss further teaches wherein the system is a personal digital assistant (PDA); a wireless modem communicating with a cellular base station, display means, Internet-capable wireless phone, a GPS receiver (page 10, lines 7-13 and page 24, lines 15 to page 25, lines 1-3). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included in the system the teachings of Titmuss of the system being a personal digital assistant (PDA); a wireless modem communicating with a cellular base station, display means,

Art Unit: 3622

Internet-capable wireless phone because such a modification would allow the user the flexibility to use any of the known devices.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Point of contact

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (703)305-0456. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w Stamber can be reached on (703)305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

For the upcoming move to the new Alexandria office, everyone has been assigned new phone and RightFax numbers. My new phone number will be: 571-272-6715, my supervisor's phone number will be: 571-272-6724.. This changes will not happen until April 2005 (or later) and therefore our current numbers are still in service until the move.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raquel Alvarez/

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3622

R.A. 4/1/05